

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/830,087	04/23/2004	Masao Murade	119291	9227	
25944	7590 10/18/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & B	ERRIDGE, PLC	NGUYEN, TH.	NGUYEN, THANH NHAN P		
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	un, vii 22020		2871	2871	
			DATE MAIL ED. 10/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	7	_
_	ك	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office Ac	tion Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20051014					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						
Attachment(s)							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) ∪ objected to by the Examiner.							
Application Papers							
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
Disposition of Claims							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
Status							
 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>1</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
omec Action Cummary	Examiner (Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P. Nguyen	Art Unit					
Office Action Summary	10/830,087	MURADE, MASAO					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-23 are pending in the application.

2. Claims 1-23 contain embodiments/examples directed to following patentably

distinct species of the claims invention:

A. One embodiment drawn to an electro optical device comprising a

connection terminal for external circuit including a controlling film and contact

holes formed in the fourth interlayer insulating film, [figs. 15-16].

B Another embodiment drawn to an electro optical device comprising a

connection terminal for external circuit wherein there is no controlling film and the

contact holes not formed in the third interlayer insulating film, [figs. 19-20].

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of claims is generic.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement must include an

identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing

of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument

that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive

unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which are written in dependent from or otherwise include all

Art Unit: 2871

the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1673. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F/9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for Application/Control Number: 10/830,087

Art Unit: 2871

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P Nguyen

Examiner

Art Unit 2871

-- October 14, 2005 --

A a Conthe

Page 4